

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/599,643 | GIMBEL ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hien D. Vu | 2839 | |

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Hien D. Vu.

(2) Mr. Salvatore Anastasi.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 and 103 rejections

Claims discussed:

34-51

Prior art documents discussed:

Okura et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed and agreed upon changes to clarify the claimed invention of claim 34 to more clearly set forth the intended concept of the invention and to further define over the prior art of record, to cancel nonelected claims 35-38, 43, 44 and 47 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)